

Lansburgh & Bro

Washington's Favorite Store.

Clearance Prices in Flannel.

Outing.

Special lot of best quality Light Outing Flannels, in checks, stripes, and plaids for pajamas, gowns, etc.

84c yard.

Wool Skirting.

5 pieces All-wool Shrunken Skirting Flannels, marine and navy blue, grey, and red grounds, with black borders, 40 inches wide, and have sold much higher all season, but will close these out.

50c yard.

Printed French Flannels.

50 pieces of Printed French Flannels, in stripes, figures, and spots, for shirt waists, gowns, dressing gowns, etc. One size grade.

49c yard.

40-inch Eiderdown.

Best quality 40-inch Wool Eiderdown, in white and all colors; this grade we have sold all season for 60c. Special.

50c yard.

Clearance Prices in Ladies' Petticoats.

Clearing sale of every colored Mercerized Skirt in our house; skirts that were selling for \$4.48, \$2.98, and \$2.58, in rich shades of red, navy blue, pink, and green; made with deep accordion-pleated flounce; all lengths. They will not last long at this price.

\$1.68

Lansburgh & Bro

420 to 426 Seventh St.

Parlor Suites,
Chamber Suites,
Haviland China,
Comforts and Blankets,
Mirrored Sideboards,
And China Closets,
Reception Chairs,
Easy Rockers,
Carpets made, laid, and
Lined free of cost.

You can buy all these
things here on easy weekly
or monthly payments.

GROGAN'S Mammouth
Credit
House,
617, 619, 621, 623 7th St. N. W.
Ect. H and I Sts.

KNABE Pianos

Other Make Uprights at All Prices.

PIANOS FOR RENT.

Wm. Knabe & Co.,

409 Pa. Ave. N. W.

\$50.00
will be given to anyone
bringing 5 letters to publish
in our paper, and by consent
of our friends. Here is one
that convinces 50 of our skill:
"The treatment of the Yero Dentist was
so gentle that I actually took a nap while
they put in a gold fill for me."
FRANK MACVICK, took a nap while
Special rates for January. Our Piano office
is 1029 Chestnut Street.
VIRGO DENTISTS.
Opposite Raleigh Hotel, 14th and Pa. Ave.
Open on 12th St. 10 a. m. to 8
p. m. Sundays, 9 a. m. to 4 p. m.
dell-301

Paintless Extract 25c.
FREE—when teeth are
sets of 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 60, 62, 64, 66, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 80, 82, 84, 86, 88, 90, 92, 94, 96, 98, 100.
DR. PATTON, Dentist,
213 Twelfth Street N. W.

PLAITING
Sewing Machines repaired and warranted, \$50
At C. F. ELLIOTT'S, 514 5th St. N. W.

THE PAINLESS DENTIST.
All operations performed by me personally.
E. Clyde Shupe, D. D. S., 1029 12th St. N. W.,
Days, 9 to 1 p. m. 623 12th St. N. W. del-1100

ROYAL Baking Powder.
Highest of all in leavening
strength.—U. S. Gov't Best Report.

HOUSE AND HERMANN,
Complete Household Furnishers.
Cash or Credit.
Cor. 7th and I (1890).

PIANOS
FOR SALE AND RENT.
DEPT. FOR
STEINWAY
AND OTHER LEADING INSTRUMENTS
EDW. F. DROOP & SONS,
925 Pennsylvania Avenue.

BRINGING AN ARMY HOME

Cost of Returning the Volunteers From the Philippines.

Additional Expense Said to Be Occasioned by Delay in Passing the Army Bill—Secretary Root Declares the Government Could Have Saved \$1,500,000 Through Prompt Action

The action of the Senate in delaying the passage of the Army Reorganization bill will probably cost the United States nearly \$1,500,000. War Department officials said today that this amount probably underestimates the additional expense to the Government caused by the failure of the Senate to pass the bill. Each day's delay increases the cost to the Government but should the bill pass this week the additional expense in returning the volunteers and reducing them in the Philippines will not be under the amount stated. Secretary Root says that it had been estimated that \$1,500,000 could have been saved by the Government, had the last Congress provided for 25,000 regular troops for a three years' service instead of that number of volunteers.

It is figured at the War Department that the delay already occasioned will necessitate the chartering of additional transports to bring home about 12,000 volunteers. It costs about \$15 per man for water transportation from Manila to San Francisco. This includes the charter price of the vessel, coaling, water, and alterations in the vessel. Estimating 12,000 men at this price makes the additional cost \$1,800,000. This does not include the extra expense of rapid recruiting to send regulars to Manila to replace the volunteers, and it is declared at the War Department that had Congress passed the Army bill before taking the holiday recess this entire additional cost would have been saved because every arrangement had been made for the use of the present army transport service, in such ways as to avoid the necessity of chartering additional vessels.

War Department officials deny the report that they have abandoned all preparations for bringing home the volunteers from the Philippines, and say that, on the contrary, every effort has been made to perfect a plan to return them to this country in time for the muster on next June. Owing to the failure of Congress to provide for additional troops to take the place of those to be withdrawn the department is compelled to make the withdrawal as gradual as possible. It had hoped to adopt a plan by which the transports of the army could be used for this work, the intention being to have each transport make two round trips between San Francisco and Manila by the middle of May. The transports going to Manila could then have carried the troops provided by Congress for replacing the volunteers. This is because the regulars of the Philippine army are to be replaced by the volunteers General MacArthur has been ordered to take the regulars of the Philippine army to the Philippines in two weeks and the department will be compelled later in the spring to charter more vessels to get the volunteers back to San Francisco to be mustered out in the time prescribed by Congress.

The chartering of these vessels will entail a considerable additional expense upon the Government. This is because the Secretary Root who warned the Senate Military Committee against delay. He told the committee that it would take at least five months to get new men over to the Philippines, and that had been made the list of January, saying:

"Of course, the longer you wait in bringing back the volunteers the greater the expense. This is because we have a certain number of transports. We own eight transports on the Pacific. We are running a regular line, the transports sailing on the 1st and 15th of each month and in the regular trips of these transports we can bring these men home with comparatively little additional expense; but if we have to bring them home in army transports, we have to go out and hire transports at an enormous expense. The charter prices go up, and the ships have to be specially fitted out for the transportation of troops, and then toward the end of the trip we have to put back the original fittings. Altogether it is a very heavy expense, and we want, as far as possible, to bring these troops back with the facilities we have and without going to such an immense increase of the expense. So, as a matter of policy of the highest importance in the Philippines, and as a matter of policy of the highest importance in the Philippines, it is exceedingly important that we shall move these volunteers as early as possible."

General MacArthur called some time ago that he could spare 2,000 of the volunteers, reducing his force to 60,000 men. The regiment designated by him to leave Manila this month is the 1st Cavalry, Infantry and Eleventh Cavalry. The Sixth Infantry left Manila some days ago, and was to have been followed by the Thirty-third Infantry. A cablegram has been received at the War Department from Manila, saying the Sheridan would be unable to leave on January 10, and would then bring 800 men of the Thirty-third Infantry and 270 convalescents. General MacArthur has also reported that he will be unable to send the Eleventh Cavalry, as it has been ordered to the interior on active campaign work. Beginning in the order of arrival at Manila, he will send the remaining regiments at the rate of two a month. The next to leave the present arrangement being the Thirty-fourth Infantry, under Col. Lyman W. W. Kenyon, which will probably return on either the Buford or Kilpatrick, now at Manila.

A Duelist Expected to Die.

RALEIGH, N. C., Jan. 8.—N. P. Allison, son of Dr. Willis Allison, who had a revolver duel on the street at Littleton, in which eleven shots were fired by himself and John Robertson, is expected to die. He is judge advocate general of the 8th Cavalry. He and Robertson had a quarrel some months ago. Allison had a severe attack of pneumonia, and was convalescent when he and Robertson met in the postoffice. Robertson attacked him. The postmaster separated them. Both went home and armed themselves. Met on the street and had a duel. Allison was shot twice in the chest in the breast near the heart. The ball has not been extracted. Robertson was shot in the back. Allison is a member of a notable family, the "fighting Allisons."

Marble Quarries Not Sold.

LONDON, Jan. 8.—The London agent of the Carrara marble quarries denies the report that Senator Proctor of Vermont or an American syndicate has bought those properties.

General Debility

Day in and out there is that feeling of weakness this makes a burden of itself. Food does not strengthen. Sleep does not refresh. It is hard to do, hard to bear, what should be easy—vitality is on the ebb, and the whole system suffers.

Hood's Sarsaparilla

It vitalizes the blood, gives vigor and tone to all the organs and functions, and is positively unequalled for all run-down or debilitated constitutions.

Hood's Pills cure constipation, 25 cents.

"COLD" WEATHER

Be afraid of a cold, if you haven't got it; but don't be afraid, if you have one.

Scott's emulsion of cod-liver oil will break it; but colds don't pay. It costs half-a-week to humor a cold.

We'll send you a bottle to try, if you like.

SCOTT & BOWNE, 439 Pearl Street, New York

BIDDING FOR THE TRUSTS

West Virginia Likely to be a Rival of New Jersey.

The Governor to Recommend a Wholesale Overhauling of the Corporation Laws in Favor of the Combines—Preparing at Charleston for the Opening of the Legislature.

PARKERSBURG, W. Va., Jan. 8.—West Virginia is likely to become the rival of New Jersey as the home of the trusts if the recommendation of the Governor is agreed to, and the prospect is that it will be, for it meets with the approval of the leading Republican politicians who have influence over legislation.

The Governor's recommendation, which will be made in his message to the Legislature tomorrow, is to take the limit of the capital stock of corporations chartered in this State. At present no corporation can be incorporated in the State with more than \$500,000 capital.

An effort was made at the last session of the Legislature to pass a bill of this kind, but the Democrats, who had a majority in the House, defeated it. Now that the Republicans have both houses and the Governor, and are in favor of the passage of the bill, it will no doubt pass. A general overhauling of the corporation laws will be recommended by the Governor.

He will recommend that the amount of land which a corporation may hold be increased, and the unlimited capital be allowed. The Legislature has passed a graduated scale of fees be adopted, varying with the size of the capital. He also wants a heavier tax on foreign corporations than on the domestic kind. He estimates that a law framed after his recommendation will increase the revenues of the State \$1,000,000 a year.

CHARLESTON, W. Va., Jan. 8.—The convening of the Legislature on Wednesday next has put life in the town and a large number of people have arrived. The prominent politicians of West Virginia, with Senator and Representative Elkins, who has not arrived, is looked for in a few days.

Those who are here looking for jobs and a kind of a boom in the Senate and Speaker of the House down at the hotel, are more numerous than at any previous session. The main fight is for Speakership, and President of the Senate. For President of the Senate there are two regular candidates, Anthony Smith, of Ritchie county, and Stark for Speaker of the House. The present candidate is Hon. Nelson E. Whitaker, of Ohio county, and Thomas E. Davis, of Taylor county. It appears that the Speaker of the House will be Whitaker, and his friends appear confident of his success.

For the Speakership of the House there are four candidates, W. G. Wilson, of Randolph county; J. S. Darst, of Jackson county; E. J. Martin, of Mingo county, and T. A. Martin, of Kanawha county. If Baker, of Randolph, should be made President of the Senate, Wilson, who is from Randolph county, would be knocked out, as the Legislature would give more than one office to the same county. The caucuses will be held tonight to make selection from the candidates. It is estimated that the contest will be a close one. A great deal depends on which side gets the chairman of the caucus.

One of the interesting proposed enactments will be the new Reapportionment bill which the State will be divided into five congressional districts. According to the plan proposed by the Republican leaders, the redistribution will be effected so as to preclude the election of a single Democratic Congressman for many years. Hereafter two of the four districts have been arranged so that both parties had an equal chance.

In the new First district, which will comprise the counties of Brooke, Doddridge, Hancock, Harrison, Marion, Marshall, Ohio, and Wayne, the Republicans will have a minimum majority of 5,202 votes.

The Second district will be composed of Hancock, Grant, Hampshire, Hardy, Monongalia, Morgan, Mineral, Berkeley, Pendleton, Preston, Randolph, Tucker, Jefferson, and Taylor, and will have a Republican majority of 1,806.

The Third district, composed of Mason, Putnam, Kanawha, Clay, Fayette, Nicholas, Greenbrier, Upshur, Summers, Pocahontas, and Webster, there will be a Republican majority of 1,806.

The Fourth district, which includes Tyler, Pleasants, Ritchie, Gilmer, Lewis, Braxton, Calhoun, Boone, Wirt, Wood, and Jackson, will probably be Republican by a majority of 2,111.

The Fifth district, made up of fragments of the old Fourth, will include Cabel, Lincoln, Wayne, Logan, Mingo, Boone, Raleigh, Wyoming, McDowell, Mercer, and Monroe, and will have a Republican majority of 2,398. Membership in the House of Delegates will be based upon the ratio of one delegate to each 12,000 of population. The new bill is creating a storm of protest from the Democratic minority in the House.

Skeleton Found in the Mountains.

RICHMOND, Va., Jan. 8.—A despatch from Norton, Wise county, says: "A human skeleton has just been found in one of the deep mountain gorges almost in sight of town. A pair of gold spectacles, part of the clothing, one glove, 55 cents in silver, and a bottle of whisky were found on the spot, but nothing to identify the man. The bones indicate a man of medium size, and he had evidently been dead from one to two years."

Charged With Swindling.

CHICAGO, Jan. 8.—E. Walker Applegate, who represents himself as President of the American Pianist Company, was arrested by the police of the Englewood station yesterday, on warrants sworn out by E. C. Carter, State's Attorney of Oakwood's Cemetery. Carter alleges that the stock which Applegate sold him in the American Pianist Company is worthless, and warrants which he obtained charge Applegate with obtaining money under false pretences. He says he was induced to take stock in the company by roseate tales of the company's work and future.

A Suspect Killed by an Officer.

RICHMOND, Va., Jan. 8.—At Big Stone Gap Sunday night Officer Newton Collier shot and killed a man named Collins, whom he had arrested with some others charged with breaking into a store. Collins, after being disarmed, ran into the Collier's house, and was in the act of shooting the officer when the fatal shot was fired.

NEWS FROM GEORGETOWN

Business Transacted by the Citizens' Association Last Night.

Committee Appointed to Urge an Appropriation for the Purchase of the Brewer Archives—Their Great Value in the Determination of West End Real Estate Titles.

The Georgetown Citizens' Association met last night with President R. T. Janney in the chair and Mr. James H. Taylor as secretary. The meeting was largely attended. One of the most interesting matters discussed was that pertaining to the H. W. Brewer archives. Mr. Brewer, who died several months ago, was a surveyor of many years experience and in the course of his work had gathered a great quantity of very valuable data bearing upon District property lines and titles, the greater part of it relating to Georgetown. A motion was made to urge the District Government to secure these records and data for the use of the District surveyors and general public.

James H. Wilson, a well known title examiner, stated that his profession had brought him during Mr. Brewer's life, close to the data in question and he was qualified to state that Georgetown's titles depended largely upon it. His records did not possess in many instances by the District. The surveyors of the District does not get his maps, charts, notes, etc., the people will suffer. Disinterestedly, Mr. Wilson appreciates the value of the records and data he among the advocates their purchase ever since Mr. Brewer's death. Their presence in the office, he says in substance, is absolutely necessary in many instances, to the furnishing of correct titles.

A committee consisting of Messrs. Jesse H. Wilson, James H. Taylor, and J. W. Loggery, was appointed to present the matter to the District Government and work for the acquisition of the records by the District.

A communication from Mr. C. C. Langston, of the Northern Trust Citizens' Association, asked that the project to widen Wisconsin Avenue be endorsed. It was stated in the meeting that much of the money donated for the widening of the Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track. It was stated that the bill provides for the use of the tracks by other roads on the payment of the widening of the bridge. A motion was made to endorse the bill of the Old Dominion and Great Falls Railroad Company, providing for the widening of the bridge. Bridge superstructure sufficiently to permit the road to lay a single track